

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 16 (2005) 3283-3290

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

Highly stereoselective synthesis of antitumor agents: both enantiomers of goniothales diol, altholactone, and isoaltholactone $\stackrel{\approx}{}$

Jhillu Singh Yadav,* Atcha Krishnam Raju, Ponugoti Purushothama Rao and Gurram Rajaiah

Organic Chemistry Division I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Received 18 August 2005; accepted 30 August 2005

Abstract—A flexible stereoselective route to synthesize both enantiomers of the highly functionalized substituted tetrahydrofurans and α , β -unsaturated- δ -lactones, goniothales diol, altholactone, and isoaltholactone, from readily available cinnamyl alcohol is described. This approach derived its asymmetry from Sharpless catalytic asymmetric epoxidation and Sharpless asymmetric dihydr-oxylation reactions. The resulting diols were produced in high enantiomeric excess and were cyclized in a stereoselective manner in the presence of a catalytic amount of camphor sulfonic acid.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Goniothales diol **1a**, a substituted tetrahydrofuran, can be isolated from the bark of the Malaysian tree *Goniothalamus borneensis* (Annonaceae),¹ and has been shown to have significant cytotoxicity against P388 mouse leukaemia cells, as well as insecticidal activities.¹ Altholactone **2a** and isoaltholactone **3a**, furanopyrones of the styryllactone family, were isolated from an unknown *Polythea* (Annonacae) species,² and from various *Goniothalamous*.³ This family of compounds share a common 5-oxygenated-5,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-one structural motif. Other members of this family include 5-acetoxygoniothalamin, goniodiol, etc.⁴ These natural products possess anti-tumor,⁵ anti-fungal,⁶ and antibacterial properties.⁶

Due to the wide distribution of this class of natural products in nature, many synthetic methodologies have been employed to synthesize them.^{7–14} Most syntheses use chiral pool starting materials such as sugars, hydroxy acids, and involve 11–16 steps.

Over the course of our program directed towards the synthesis of antitumor agents,¹⁵ we herein report in

detail our synthetic endeavours towards the construction of (+)-goniothales diol **1a**, its enantiomer (-)-goniothales diol **1b**, (+)-altholactone **2a**, its enantiomer (-)altholactone **2b**, and (+)-isoaltholactone **3a** and its enantiomer (-)-isoaltholactone **3b** employing Sharpless catalytic asymmetric epoxidation and Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation reactions starting from the inexpensive and readily available cinnamyl alcohol. Our approach is illustrated retrosynthetically in Scheme 1.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis of (+)-altholactone 2a, (-)-altholactone 2b, (+)-isoaltholactone 3a, and (-)-isoaltholactone 3b

The synthesis began with the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation¹⁶ of cinnamyl alcohol **7** to afford **6a** and **6b** in 82% and 83% yields, respectively. Oxidation of alcohols **6a** and **6b** using the Swern protocol¹⁷ afforded both aldehydes, which without purification were subjected to Wittig olefination¹⁸ with the stable ylide (eth-oxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane to afford epoxy esters **8a** and **8b**, respectively, in 87% and 88% yields (Schemes 2 and 3).

 α,β -Unsaturated epoxy ester **8a** was subjected to a Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation reaction using AD-mix- α , to yield **5a** and **5b** in a ratio of 20:1¹⁹ (78% yield) while treatment with AD-mix- β afforded **5a** and

[☆]IICT Communication No. 050710.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 40 27193030; fax: +91 40 27160512; e-mail: yadavpub@iict.res.in

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.08.047



Scheme 1.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) (-)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)₄, TBHP, CH₂Cl₂, -33 °C; (b) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (c) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Et, benzene, rt; (d) see Scheme 2; (e) CSA, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (f) 2,2-DMP, *p*-TSA, acetone, rt; (g) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (h) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Et, CH₃OH, rt; (i) *p*-TSA, CH₃OH, rt; then benzene, sonication 20–30 min; (j) TBS-Cl, imidazole, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; (k) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Me, CH₃OH, rt.

5b in a ratio of $1:10^{19}$ (75% yield), whilst treatment with OsO₄, NMO afforded **5a** and **5b** in a 13:7 ratio (78% yield). The separation of these two isomeric diols **5a** and **5b** was not feasible through simple column chromatography because of their close R_f values. It was therefore decided to purify the mixture in the subsequent steps. The mixture of **5a** and **5b** was subjected to treatment with a catalytic amount of CSA to afford **4a** and **4b** (94% yield) by cyclization. Subsequent treatment of this mixture with 2,2-DMP afforded acetonide **9a** and unreacted **4b**, which were readily separated by column chromatography.

Ester **9a** was reduced to the aldehyde, which was subjected to a Wittig olefination with the stable ylide (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane in methanol as the solvent to yield *cis*-ester **10a** (80% yield, two steps) predominantly (*cis:trans* 95:5).²⁰ The *trans*-diol **4b** was protected with TBSCl to yield **11a** in 97% yield. As with **9a**, **11a** was also reduced with DIBALH to afford an aldehyde, which was transformed into the *cis*-ester **12a** (82% yield, two steps) using (methoxy-carbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane. Compounds 10a and 12a on treatment with a catalytic amount of p-TSA in methanol afforded a mixture of diol esters and lactones 3a and 2b. Removal of methanol by concentration under reduced pressure and sonication after diluting the residue with benzene afforded lactones 3a and 2b, respectively (in 83% and 83% yields) (Scheme 2). Epoxy ester 8b was transformed in a similar fashion to afford 2a and 3b, as illustrated in Scheme 3.

2.2. Synthesis of (+)-goniothales diol 1a and (-)-goniothales diol 1b

Hydrogenation of esters **12b** and **12a** was performed employing Pd (black) in 4.4% HCOOH–MeOH,¹³ to afford esters **13a** and **13b**, respectively (both in 94% yields). The TBS groups of esters **13a** and **13b** were cleanly deprotected using TBAF in dry THF to give a mixture of lactones and diols **1a** and **1b**, respectively. Removal of THF under reduced pressure and repeated treatment with Amberlyst 15 in methanol provided diols **1a** and **1b** in 74% and 72% yields, respectively (Scheme 4).



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) (+)-DET, Ti($O^{t}Pr$)₄, TBHP, CH₂Cl₂, -33 °C; (b) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (c) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Et, benzene, rt; (d) see Scheme 3; (e) CSA, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (f) 2,2-DMP, *p*-TSA, acetone, rt; (g) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; (h) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Et, CH₃OH, rt; (i) *p*-TSA, CH₃OH, rt; then benzene, sonication 20–30 min; (j) TBS-Cl, imidazole, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; (k) Ph₃P=CH-CO₂Me, CH₃OH, rt.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) H₂/Pd-carbon (10%), 4.4% HCO₂H-MeOH, rt; (b) TBAF, THF, 0 °C, then Amberlyst 15, MeOH, 3 h.

3. Conclusion

The total syntheses of both enantiomers of goniothales diol, altholactone, and isoaltholactone were achieved in efficient yields from readily available cinnamyl alcohol 7. The syntheses required only 9 or 10 chemical operations and were highly stereoselective. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation reaction of epoxy esters **8a** and **8b** and CSA catalyzed cyclization of **5a–d** are the key steps of our syntheses. Our route provides a general, efficient, and stereoselective access to related substituted tetrahydrofurans and α , β -unsaturated- δ -lactones.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Commercial reagents were used without further purification. All solvents were purified by standard techniques. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Perkin–Elmer 683 spectrometer. Optical rotations were obtained on Jasco Dip 360 digital polarimeter. Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a Varian Gemini 200, Bruker 300, or Varian Unity 400 NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million and are referenced to the tetra-methylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. Coupling constants (*J*) are quoted in Hertz. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (60–120 mesh) and flash chromatographic separations were carried out using 230–400 mesh size silica gel. Mass spectra were obtained on Finnegan MAT 1020B or micro-mass VG 70-70H spectrometer operating at 70 eV using direct inlet system.

4.1.1. 3-Phenyl-(2R,3R)-oxiran-2-ylmethanol **6a.** A mixture of titanium tetraisopropoxide (2.2 g, 7.76 mmol), (–)-diethyl D-tartarate (1.84 g, 8.95 mmol), and 4 g of activated powdered 4 Å molecular sieves was

stirred in 200 mL of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ at -23 °C for 30 min. To this mixture was added cinnamyl alcohol 7 (8 g, 59.70 mmol) with 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at -23 °C. After the resulting mixture was stirred at -23 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with tert-butylhydroperoxide (49 mL; 3.0 M in toluene) and stirred for 4 h at this temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C and poured into a freshly prepared and cooled (0 °C) solution of ferrous sulfate and tartaric acid (10 g and 3 g, respectively) in deionised water (15 mL). The two-phase mixture was stirred for 25-30 min, aqueous phase separated and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were treated with a precooled (0 °C) solution of 30% NaOH (w/v) in saturated brine. The two-phase mixture was then stirred for 1 h at room temperature and the aqueous layer separated. It was treated with ether and the combined organic extracts dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure, resulting in a crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using 17:3 hexane–EtOAc as eluent to give **6a** (7.34 g, 82% yield) as liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +45.9$ (*c* 1, EtOH); IR (KBr): v = 3580, 3450, 2980, 1600, 1450, 1380 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.20$ (1H, br s), 3.25–3.33 (1H, m), 3.81 (1H, dd, J = 5.1 Hz), 3.95 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 4.18 (1H, dd, J = 3.1 Hz), 7.20–7.50 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 136.5$, 128.3, 128.1, 125.5, 62.5, 61.4, 55.7; HRMS calcd for $C_9H_{10}O_2$ [M]⁺ 150.0681, found 150.0687.

4.1.2. 3-Phenyl-(2*S***,***3S***)-oxiran-2-ylmethanol 6b. Compound 6b was prepared from cinnamyl alcohol 7 by using (+)-diethyl L-tartarate instead of (-)-diethyl D-tartarate in 83% yield employing the similar procedure as explained for the preparation of 6a. [\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -50.1 (***c* **1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3580, 3450, 2980, 1600, 1450, 1380 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): \delta = 2.20 (1H, br s), 3.25-3.33 (1H, m), 3.81 (1H, dd, J = 5.1 Hz), 3.95 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 4.18 (1H, dd, J = 3.1 Hz), 7.20-7.50 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): \delta = 136.5, 128.3, 128.1, 125.5, 62.5, 61.4, 55.7; HRMS calcd for C₉H₁₀O₂ [M]⁺ 150.0681, found 150.0687.**

4.1.3. Ethyl 3-[3-phenyl-(2R,3R)-oxiran-2-yl]-(E)-2-propenoate 8a. Oxalyl chloride (8.4 g, 66.66 mmol) in $CH_2Cl_2~(100~mL)$ was cooled to $-78\ensuremath{\,^\circ C}$ and DMSO (7.8 g, 99.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) added via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h before a solution of alcohol **6a** (5 g, 33.33 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added dropwise via cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at which point triethylamine (32.3 mL, 233.33 mmol) was added dropwise over 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min and then warmed to 0 °C. This was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C and then quenched by the addition of 0.5 M sodium bisulfate. The organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated to give the crude aldehyde, which was taken in dry benzene (100 mL) after (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenyl-phosphowhich rane (12.6 g, 36.41 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at rt. After removal of the solvent, the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using 20:1 hexane–EtOAc as eluent to give 6.2 g (87%) of ester **8a** as a colorless liquid: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +121.9$ (*c* 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 2983$, 1716, 1656, 1263 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.30$ (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.40–3.44 (1H, m), 3.78–3.80 (1H, m), 4.20 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.15 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 0.8 Hz), 6.78 (1H, dd, J = 15.5, 6.8 Hz), 7.23–7.26 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 165.4$, 143.4, 135.9, 128.5, 125.4, 123.9, 121.1, 60.9, 60.5, 60.4, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₅O₃ [M+H]⁺ 219.1021, found 219.1025.

4.1.4. Ethyl 3-[3-phenyl-(2*S***,3***S***)-oxiran-2-yl]-(***E***)-2-propenoate 8b. Compound 8b was prepared from alcohol 6b in 88% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 8a. Compound 8b (color-less liquid): [\alpha]_D^{20} = -136.5 (***c* **1.6, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2983, 1716, 1656, 1263 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): \delta = 1.30 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.40–3.44 (1H, m), 3.78–3.80 (1H, m), 4.20 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.15 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 0.8 Hz), 6.78 (1H, dd, J = 15.5, 6.8 Hz), 7.23–7.26 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): \delta = 165.4, 143.4, 135.9, 128.5, 125.4, 123.9, 121.1, 60.9, 60.5, 60.4, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₅O₃ [M+H]⁺ 219.1021, found 219.1025.**

4.1.5. Ethyl (2R,3S)-dihydroxy-3-[3-phenyl-(2R,3R)-oxiran-2-yl]-(2R)-propanoate 5a. To a solution of ester 8a (1.03 g, 5 mmol) in a 1:1 mixture (30 mL) of water-tertbutyl alcohol were added sequentially 4.95 g (15 mmol) of potassium ferricyanide, 2.07 g (15 mmol) of potassium corbonate, and 0.195 g (1.25 mmol) of (DHQ)₂-PHAL, 37 mg (1 mmol) of potassium osmatedihydrate, and 0.48 g (5 mmol) of methane sulfonamide. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 12 h, and 50 mg of sodium metabisulfite was then added. After the mixture was stirred for an additional 45 min, it was filtered through Celite, Florisil, and MgSO₄. The filter cake was thoroughly rinsed with EtOAc-hexane (20:1). The combined filtrates were concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using 4:6 EtOAc-hexane as eluent to give 0.92 g (78%) of diol **5a** as a semi-solid. Compound **5a** (semi-solid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +45.7$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3474, 2983, 1738, 1376, 1217 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.71 (1H, br s), 3.13–3.17 (1H, m), 3.32 (1H, br s), 3.89-3.92 (3H, m), 4.28 (2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.23–7.29 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 172.5$, 136.4, 128.3, 127.7, 125.6, 79.9, 72.5, 71.7, 62.1, 55.0, 13.9; HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}O_5Na [M+Na]^+$ 275.0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.6. Ethyl (2S,3R)-dihydroxy-3-[3-phenyl-(2R,3R)-oxiran-2-yl]-(2S)-propanoate 5b. Compound **5b** was prepared from ester **8a** by using (DHQD)₂-PHAL instead of (DHQ)₂-PHAL in 75% yield employing the similar procedure as explained for the preparation of **5a**. Compound **5b** (semi-solid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.5$ (*c* 1.8, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3455$, 2980, 1731, 1368, 1221 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (3H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.60 (1H, br s), 3.12–3.29 (2H, m), 3.85–3.93 (1H, m), 4.10–4.19 (1H, m), 4.23–4.42 (3H, m), 7.23–7.39 (5H, m); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 172.3$, 136.1, 128.1, 127.1, 125.3, 83.8, 72.1, 71.4, 62.0, 57.1, 13.8; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.7. Ethyl (2*R***,3***S***)-dihydroxy-3-[3-phenyl-(2***S***,3***S***)-oxiran-2-yl]-(2***R***)-propanoate 5c. Compound 5c was prepared from ester 8b in 75% yield employing a similar procedure as explained for the preparation of 5a. Compound 5c (semi-solid): [\alpha]_D^{20} = -45.7 (***c* **1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3455, 2980, 1731, 1368, 1221 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): \delta = 1.32 (3H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.60 (1H, br s), 3.12–3.29 (2H, m), 3.85–3.93 (1H, m), 4.10–4.19 (1H, m), 4.23–4.42 (3H, m), 7.23–7.39 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): \delta = 172.3, 136.1, 128.1, 127.1, 125.3, 83.8, 72.1, 71.4, 62.0, 57.1, 13.8; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.**

4.1.8. Ethyl (2*S***,3***R***)-dihydroxy-3-[3-phenyl-(2***S***,3***S***)-oxiran-2-yl]-(2***S***)-propanoate 5d. Compound 5d was prepared from ester 8b by using (DHQD)₂-PHAL instead of (DHQ)₂-PHAL in 78% yield employing the similar procedure as explained for the preparation of 5a. Compound 5d (semi-solid): [\alpha]_D^{20} = -55.3 (***c* **1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3474, 2983, 1738, 1376, 1217 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): \delta = 1.32 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.71 (1H, br s), 3.13–3.17 (1H, m), 3.32 (1H, br s), 3.89–3.92 (3H, m), 4.28 (2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.23–7.29 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): \delta = 172.5, 136.4, 128.3, 127.7, 125.6, 79.9, 72.5, 71.7, 62.1, 55.0, 13.9; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.**

4.1.9. Ethyl 3,4-dihydroxy-5-phenyl-(2R,3S,4S,5S)-tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate 4a. Diol 5a (1 g, 3.96 mmol) was taken in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) to which was added a catalytic amount of camphor sulfonic acid at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3-4 h and neutralized with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography using EtOAc-hexane (1:1) as eluent to afford 4a (0.94 g, 94%) as semi-solid. Compound 4a (semi-solid): $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -14.5$ (c 1.8, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3357, 2928, 1759, 1713, 1452, 1372 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.34$ (3H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.23– 3.48 (2H, m), 3.92-4.02 (1H, m), 4.28 (2H, q, J = 6.6 Hz, 4.43-4.53 (1H, m), 4.80 (1H,d. J = 5.9 Hz), 5.02 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz), 7.25–7.34 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 171.0$, 139.2, 128.9, 128.0, 125.8, 83.9, 80.2, 78.1, 72.1, 61.6, 14.1; HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}O_5Na$ [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.10. Ethyl 3,4-dihydroxy-5-phenyl-(2*S*,3*R*,4*S*,5*S*)-tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate 4b. Compound 4b was prepared from diol 5b in 94% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 4a. Compound 4b (colorless needles): mp 98 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -22.5$ (*c* 1.9, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3419$, 2933, 1748, 1452, 1383 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.33$

(3H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.72–3.01 (2H, m), 4.03–4.16 (1H, m), 4.20–4.40 (3H, m), 4.61–4.71 (2H, m), 7.22–7.60 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 170.3$, 138.9, 128.4, 128.0, 126.3, 85.3, 82.5, 79.5, 78.2, 61.5, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.11. Ethyl 3,4-dihydroxy-5-phenyl-(2*R*,3*S*,4*R*,5*R*)tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate 4c. Compound 4c was prepared from diol 5c in 78% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 4a. Compound 4c (colorless needles): mp 98–100 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +24.5$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3419, 2933, 1748, 1452, 1383 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.33$ (3H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.72–3.01 (2H, m), 4.03– 4.16 (1H, m), 4.20–4.40 (3H, m), 4.61–4.71 (2H, m), 7.22–7.60 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 170.3$, 138.9, 128.4, 128.0, 126.3, 85.3, 82.5, 79.5, 78.2, 61.5, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275. 0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.12. Ethyl 3,4-dihydroxy-5-phenyl-(2*S*,3*R*,4*R*,5*R*)tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate 4d. Compound 4d was prepared from diol 5d in 78% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 4a. Compound 4d (semi-solid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +15.5$ (*c* 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 3357, 2928, 1759, 1713, 1452, 1372 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.34$ (3H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.23–3.48 (2H, m), 3.92–4.02 (1H, m), 4.28 (2H, q, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.43–4.53 (1H, m), 4.80 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz), 5.02 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz), 7.25–7.34 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 171.0$, 139.2, 128.9, 128.0, 125.8, 83.9, 80.2, 78.1, 72.1, 61.6, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 275.0895, found 275.0906.

4.1.13. Ethyl 2,2-dimethyl-6-phenyl-(3aS,4R,6S,6aS)-perhydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxole-4-carboxylate 9a. A mixture of 4a (2 g, 79.36 mmol), 2,2-dimethoxy propane (0.9 g, 87.30 mmol), and a catalytic amount of p-TSA in acetone (30 mL) was stirred for 2 h, neutralized with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and then concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc-hexane 1:20) to give 9a (2.2 g, 97%) as a liquid: 9a (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +17.5$ (c 1.8, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2986, 1761, 1453, 1378, 1207, 1107 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.33 (3H, s), 1.52 (3H, s), 4.25(2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.55 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, 0.7 Hz),4.80–4.98 (2H, m), 5.33 (1H, s), 7.25–7.32 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 167.8$, 137.6, 128.6, 127.7, 125.5, 113.8, 86.3, 85.1, 81.8, 80.1, 61.0, 26.2, 25.2, 14.2; HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}O_5$ [M+H] 293.1389, found 293.1392.

4.1.14. Ethyl **2,2-dimethyl-6-phenyl-(3a***R*,**4***S*,**6***R*,**6a***R*)-**perhydrofuro[3,4-***d***][1,3]dioxole-4-carboxylate 9b.** Compound 9b was prepared from compound 4d in 94% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 4a. Compound 9b (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -19.5$ (*c* 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 2986$, 1761, 1453, 1378, 1207, 1107 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.33

(3H, s), 1.52 (3H, s), 4.25 (2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.55 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, 0.7 Hz), 4.80–4.98 (2H, m), 5.33 (1H, s), 7.25–7.32 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 167.8$, 137.6, 128.6, 127.7, 125.5, 113.8, 86.3, 85.1, 81.8, 80.1, 61.0, 26.2, 25.2, 14.2; HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₁O₅ [M+H]⁺ 293.1389, found 293.1392.

4.1.15. Ethyl 3-[2,2-dimethyl-6-phenyl-(3aR,4S,6S,6aS)perhydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl]-(Z)-2-propenoate 10a. To a solution of ester 9a (2 g, 6.84 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) at -78 °C was added DIBAL-H (1.01 M solution in hexane, 3.5 mL, 6.84 mmol). After being stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous potassium sodium tartarate. The resultant mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and stirred vigorously at room temperature until the layers became clear. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde, which was treated with (ethoxycarbonyl-methylene)triphenylphosphorane (2.3 g, 6.81 mmol) in dry methanol (50 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6– 8 h. After removal of the solvent, the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using 20:1 hexane-EtOAc as eluent to give 1.72 g (80%) of ester 10a as a colorless viscous liquid. Compound **10a** (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +97.3$ (*c* 1.5, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2985, 1716, 1651, 1382, 1195 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.29$ (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.34 (3H, s), 1.55 (3H, s), 4.15(2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.93-5.03 (2H, m), 5.21 (1H, s),5.34–5.42 (1H, m), 5.95 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 1.4 Hz), 6.42 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 6.7 Hz), 7.21–7.36 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 165.5$, 145.4, 138.5, 128.5, 127.3, 125.4, 120.8, 112.6, 87.3, 85.0, 82.9, 78.1, 60.2, 26.3, 24.9, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₃O₅ $[M+H]^+$ 319.1545, found 319.1546.

4.1.16. Ethyl 3-[2,2-dimethyl-6-phenyl-(3a*S*,4*R*,6*R*,6*aR*)-**perhydrofuro[3,4-***d***][1,3]dioxol-4-yl]-(***Z***)-2-propenoate 10b. Compound 10b was prepared from compound 9b in 81% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 10a. Compound 10b (viscous liquid): [\alpha]_D^{20} = -92.1 (***c* **2, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2985, 1716, 1651, 1382, 1195 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): \delta = 1.29 (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.34 (3H, s), 1.55 (3H, s), 4.15 (2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.93–5.03 (2H, m), 5.21 (1H, s), 5.34–5.42 (1H, m), 5.95 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 1.4 Hz), 6.42 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 6.7 Hz), 7.21–7.36 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): \delta = 165.5, 145.4, 138.5, 128.5, 127.3, 125.4, 120.8, 112.6, 87.3, 85.0, 82.9, 78.1, 60.2, 26.3, 24.9, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₂₃O₅ [M+H]⁺ 318.1545, found 319.1546.**

4.1.17. Methyl 3,4-di-*tert*-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5-phenyl-(2*S*,3*R*,4*S*,5*S*)-tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate **11a.** To a solution of **4b** (2 g, 7.93 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (80 mL) were added *tert*-butylchlorodimethylsilane (2.6 g, 17.46 mmol) and imidazole (1.3 g, 19.84 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 4 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated aqueous NH_4Cl , brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc–hexane 1:20 as eluent) gave **11a** (3.6 g, 97%) as a colorless clear oil: **11a** (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +19.2$ (*c* 1.8, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 2934$, 1737, 1467, 1256, 1095 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.10$ (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.20 (3H, s), 0.72 (9H, s), 0.92 (9H, s), 1.45 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.12–4.25 (3H, m), 4.30–4.41 (1H, m), 4.71–4.79 (1H, m), 4.90 (1H, s), 7.15–7.29 (2H, m), 7.45–7.56 (3H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 169.5$, 140.2, 127.9, 127.1, 126.4, 89.3, 83.8, 82.0, 80.1, 60.9, 25.6, 25.3, 17.6, 14.1, -4.4, -4.5, -4.8, -5.3; HRMS calcd for C₂₅H₄₅O₅Si₂ [M+H]⁺ 481.2805, found 481.2811.

4.1.18. Methyl 3,4-di-*tert*-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5-phenyl-(2*R*,3*S*,4*R*,5*R*)-tetrahydro-2-furancarboxylate 11b. Compound 11b was prepared from compound 4a in 97% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 11a. Compound 11b (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -16.2$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2934, 1737, 1467, 1256, 1095 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.10$ (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.20 (3H, s), 0.72 (9H, s), 0.92 (9H, s), 1.45 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.12–4.25 (3H, m), 4.30–4.41 (1H, m), 4.71–4.79 (1H, m), 4.90 (1H, s), 7.15–7.29 (2H, m), 7.45–7.56 (3H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 169.5$, 140.2, 127.9, 127.1, 126.4, 89.3, 83.8, 82.0, 80.1, 60.9, 25.6: *m*/*z*, 25.3, 17.6, 14.1, -4.4, -4.5, -4.8, -5.3; HRMS calcd for C₂₅H₄₅O₅Si₂ [M+H]⁺ 481.2805, found 481.2811.

4.1.19. Methyl 3-[3,4-di-tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5phenyl-(2R,3S,4S,5S)-tetrahydro-2-furanyl]-(Z)-2-propenoate 12a. Compound 12a was prepared from ester 11a by using (methoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane instead of (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane in 80% yield and employing the similar procedure as explained for the preparation of **10a.** Compound **12a** (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -96.3$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2954, 1742, 1467, 1363, 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.20$ (3H, s), -0.09 (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.72(9H, s), 0.92 (9H, s), 3.71 (3H, s), 4.01–4.09 (1H, m), 4.23–4.30 (1H, m), 4.75 (1H, s), 5.45–5.55 (1H, m), 5.91 (1H, dd, J = 12, 1.6 Hz), 6.50 (1H, dd, J = 12, 6.4 Hz), 7.15–7.41 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 147.3$, 130.1, 128.0, 127.1, 126.6, 120.5, 109.7, 89.9, 85.8, 81.4, 80.0, 54.3, 51.3, 32.3, 25.7, 7.9, -4.4, -4.5, -4.7, -5.1; HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₄₅O₅Si₂ $[M+H]^+$ 493.2805, found 493.2825.

4.1.20. Methyl 3-[3,4-di-*tert*-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5phenyl-(2*S*,3*R*,4*R*,5*R*)-tetra-hydro-2-furanyl]-(*Z*)-2-propenoate 12b. Compound 12b was prepared from ester 11b by using (methoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane instead of (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane in 81% yield and employing the similar procedure as explained for the preparation of 10a. Compound 12b (viscous liquid): $[\alpha]_D^{20} =$ +103.1 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v = 2954, 1742, 1467, 1363, 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.20$ (3H, s), -0.09 (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.10

3289

(3H, s), 0.72 (9H, s), 0.92 (9H, s), 3.71 (3H, s), 4.01– 4.09 (1H, m), 4.23–4.30 (1H, m), 4.75 (1H, s), 5.45– 5.55 (1H, m), 5.91 (1H, dd, J = 12, 1.6 Hz), 6.50 (1H, dd, J = 12, 6.4 Hz), 7.15–7.41 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 147.3$, 130.1, 128.0, 127.1, 126.6, 120.5, 109.7, 89.9, 85.8, 81.4, 80.0, 54.3, 51.3, 32.3, 25.7, 7.9, -4.4, -4.5, -4.7, -5.1; HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₄₅O₅Si₂ [M+H]⁺ 493.2805, found 493.2825.

4.1.21. (+)-Isoaltholactone 3a. A solution of compound 10a (0.1 g, 0.431 mmol) in methanol (15 mL) was treated with a catalytic amount of *p*-TSA at rt to afford a mixture of diol ester and lactone 3a. Removal of methanol by concentration under reduced pressure and sonication after diluting the residue with benzene (20 mL) afforded the crude lactone **3a**. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using 1:1 hexane-EtOAc as eluent to give 60 mg (83%) of **3a** as colorless needles: mp 102–103 °C (lit.^{3b} mp 103.5–104.5 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +34.5$ (*c* 0.50, EtOH) {lit.^{3b} $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +32$ (*c* 0.013, EtOH)}; IR (KBr): $\nu = 3500, 3030$, 1730, 1645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.31 (1H, br s), 4.26-4.29 (1H, m) 4.78 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz),4.86 (1H, t, J = 5.5, 4.4 Hz), 5.05 (1H, t, J = 5.7 Hz), 6.20 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, 0.7 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 9.9,4.5 Hz), 7.25-7.40 (5H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 161.9$, 141.7, 138.6, 128.5, 128.1, 125.6, 122.4, 83.1, 78.6, 78.4, 67.7; HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}O_4 [M+H]^+$ 233.0814, found 233.0815.

4.1.22. (-)-Altholactone 2b. Lactone 2b was prepared from 12a in 83% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 3a. Compound 2b (colorless oily material): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -163.9$ (*c* 0.25, EtOH) {lit.^{9a} $[\alpha]_D = -166$ (*c* 0.50, EtOH)}; IR (KBr): $v = 3418, 2925, 1731 \text{ cm}^{-1}; ^{1}\text{H}$ MMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.79-4.01$ (1H, m), 4.44 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 2.3 Hz), 4.63 (1H, t, J = 5.1 Hz), 4.73 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz), 4.92 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.2 Hz), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz), 6.95 (1H, dd, J = 9.9, 4.8 Hz), 7.26–7.38 (5H, m). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 161.9, 140.7, 138.3, 128.5, 128.1, 126.2, 123.5, 86.5, 86.1, 83.4, 68.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O₄ [M+H]⁺ 233.0814, found 233.0808.$

4.1.23. (+)-Altholactone 2a. Lactone 2a was prepared from 12b in 83% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 3a. Compound 2a (colorless needles): mp 108–109 °C (lit.^{3a} mp 110 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +183.1$ (*c* 0.20, EtOH) {lit.² $[\alpha]_D = +188$, lit.^{3a} $[\alpha]_D = +184.7$ }; IR (KBr): v = 3418, 2925, 1731 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.79-4.01$ (1H, m), 4.44 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 2.3 Hz), 4.63 (1H, t, J = 5.1 Hz), 4.73 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz), 4.92 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.2 Hz), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz), 6.95 (1H, dd, J = 9.9, 4.8 Hz), 7.26–7.38 (5H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 161.9$, 140.7, 138.3, 128.5, 128.1, 126.2, 123.5, 86.5, 86.1, 83.4, 68.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O₄ [M+H]⁺ 233. 0814, found 233.0808.

4.1.24. (-)-Isoaltholactone 3b. Compound 3b was prepared from 10b in 82% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 3a. Compound

3b (colorless oily material): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -32.2$ (*c* 0.30, EtOH); IR (KBr): v = 3500, 3030, 1730, 1645 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.31$ (1H, br s), 4.26–4.29 (1H, m) 4.78 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.86 (1H, t, J = 5.5, 4.4 Hz), 5.05 (1H, t, J = 5.7 Hz), 6.20 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, 0.7 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 9.9, 4.5 Hz), 7.25–7.40 (5H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 161.9$, 141.7, 138.6, 128.5, 128.1, 125.6, 122.4, 83.1, 78.6, 78.4, 67.7; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O₄ [M+H]⁺ 233.0814, found 233.0815.

4.1.25. Methyl-3-[3,4-di-tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5phenyl-(2S,3R,4R,5R)-tetra-hydro-2-furanyl|propanoate 13a. Compound 12b (0.5 g, 1.01 mmol) was treated with 10% of Pd/C (10 mg) in 4.4% HCOOH-MeOH under a hydrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 3 h, and filtered through Celite. The filter cake was washed twice with ethyl acetate. The solvent was removed in vacuo to provide the crude compound, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 20:1 hexane-EtOAc as eluent to give 0.47 g (94%) of 13a as colorless liquid: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +18.2$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 2954$, 1742, 1465, 1359, 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.18$ (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.04 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.78 (9H, s), 0.91 (9H, s), 1.82-1.95 (1H, m) 2.05-2.18 (1H, m), 2.40-2.61 (2H, m), 3.63 (3H, s), 3.81–3.85 (1H, m), 3.93–3.97 (1H, m), 4.03–4.14 (1H, m), 4.62 (1H, s), 7.10–7.39 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 173.9$, 140.9, 127.9, 127.0, 126.6, 88.8, 85.4, 81.0, 79.9, 51.5, 31.0, 25.7, 25.6, 24.6, 17.8, -4.5, -5.0; HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{47}O_5Si_2 [M+H]^+$ 495.2962, found 495.2975.

4.1.26. Methyl 3-[3,4-di-tert-butyldimethylsilanyloxy-5-phenyl-(2R,3S,4S,5S)-tetrahydro-2-furanyl]propanoate 13b. Compound 13b was prepared from 12a in 94% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 13a. Compound 13b: $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = -18.9$ $(c 1, CHCl_3);$ IR (KBr): $v = 2954, 1742, 1\overline{4}65, 1359,$ 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -0.18$ (3H, s), 0.01 (3H, s), 0.04 (3H, s), 0.10 (3H, s), 0.78 (9H, s), 0.91 (9H, s), 1.82–1.95 (1H, m) 2.05–2.18 (1H, m), 2.40–2.61 (2H, m), 3.63 (3H, s), 3.81–3.85 (1H, m), 3.93-3.97 (1H, m), 4.03-4.14 (1H, m), 4.62 (1H, s), 7.10–7.39 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 173.9, 140.9, 127.9, 127.0, 126.6, 88.8, 85.4, 81.0,$ 79.9, 51.5, 31.0, 25.7, 25.6, 24.6, 17.8, -4.5, -5.0; HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{47}O_5Si_2$ [M+H]⁺ 495.2962, found 495.2975.

4.1.27. (+)-Goniothales diol 1a. To a stirred solution of compound **13a** (0.3 g, 0.60 mmol) in dry THF was added TBAF (0.33 g, 12.75 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched with Et₂O and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with Et₂O. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to give a residue, which was treated with Amberlyst 15 in methanol to provide the target compound **1a** in 74% (0.11 g) yield: **1a** (yellow oil): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +6.9$ (*c* 0.7, EtOH) {lit.¹ $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +7.5$ (*c* 0.23, EtOH)}; IR (KBr): v = 3443,

2951, 2919, 1742, 1451, 1374, 1219, 1165 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.01-2.12$ (2H, m), 2.42–2.62 (2H, m), 3.65 (3H, s), 3.97–4.07 (3H, m), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 4.5 Hz), 7.25 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.33 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.41 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 174.9$, 139.8, 128.7, 127.9, 126.3, 86.1, 85.3, 80.7, 79.0, 51.9, 30.6, 23.7; HRMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 289.1051, found 289.1059.

4.1.28. (–)-Goniothales diol 1b. Compound 1b was prepared from diol 13b in 74% yield employing the same procedure as explained for the preparation of 1a. Compound 1b (yellow oil): $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.0$ (*c* 0.54, EtOH) {lit.¹³ $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -7.1$ (*c* 0.15, EtOH)}; IR (KBr): v = 3443, 2951, 2919, 1742, 1451, 1374, 1219, 1165 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.01-2.12$ (2H, m), 2.42–2.62 (2H, m), 3.65 (3H, s), 3.97–4.07 (3H, m), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 4.5 Hz), 7.25 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.33 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.41 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 174.9$, 139.8, 128.7, 127.9, 126.3, 86.1, 85.3, 80.7, 79.0, 51.9, 30.6, 23.7; HRMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 289.1051, found 289.1059.

Acknowledgments

A. K. Raju and G. Rajaiah thank the CSIR, New Delhi, for a research fellowship.

References

- Cao, S.-G.; Wu, X.-H.; Sim, K.-Y.; Tan, B. K. H.; Pereira, J. T.; Goh, S.-H. *Tetrahedron* 1998, *54*, 2143–2148.
- 2. Lode, J. W.; Nearn, R. H. Heterocycles 1977, 7, 113.
- (a) El-Zayat, A. A. E.; Ferigni, N. R.; McCloud, T. G.; McKenzie, A. J.; Byrn, S. T.; Cassady, J. M.; Chang, C.; McLaughlin, J. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 955; (b) Goh, S. H.; Chung, V. C.; Sha, C. K.; Mak, T. C. W. *Phytochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 1704.
- (a) Argoudelis, A. D.; Zieserl, J. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1966, 18, 1969; (b) Yasui, K.; Tamura, Y.; Nakatani, T.; Kawada, K.; Ohtani, M. J. Org. Chem. 1955, 60, 7567; (c) Bermejo, A.; Blazqoz, M. A.; Rao, K. S.; Cortes, D. *Phytochem. Anal.* 1999, 10, 127; (d) Ahmad, F. B.; Tuko, W. A.; Omar, S.; Sharif, A. M. *Phytochemistry* 1991, 30, 2430; (e) Fang, X. P.; Anderson, J. E.; Chung, C. J.; Mclanglin, J. L.; Fanwick, P. E. J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 1034.

- Blazques, M. A.; Bermejo, A.; Zafra-Polo, M. C.; Cortes, D. Phytochem. Anal. 1999, 10, 161.
- For a review of 5,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-ones, see: Davies-Coleman, M. T.; Rivett, D. E. A.: In Herz, W., Grisebach, H., Kirby, G. W., Tamm, Ch., Eds.; Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; Springer: New York, 1989; Vol. 55, pp 1–35.
- (a) Peng, X.; Li, A.; Lu, J.; Wang, Q.; Pan, X.; Chan, X. S. C. *Tetrahedron* 2002, *58*, 6799; (b) Harris, J. M.; O'Doherty, G. A. *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 5161, and references cited therein.
- (a) Tsubuki, M.; Kanai, K.; Honda, T. *Synlett* **1993**, 653;
 (b) Tsubuki, M.; Kanai, K.; Nagase, H.; Honda, T. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, 55, 2493.
- (a) Gesson, J.-P.; Jacquesy, J.-C.; Mondon, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3945; (b) Gesson, J.-P.; Jacquesy, J.-C.; Mondon, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3949; (c) Gesson, J.-P.; Jacquesy, J.-C.; Mondon, M. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2627; (d) Gillhouley, J. G.; Shing, T. K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 976; (e) Shing, T. K. M.; Gillhouley, J. G. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 8685; (f) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H.-C.; Zhou, Z.-H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3121; (g) Ueno, Y.; Tadano, K.; Ogawa, S.; McLaughlin, J. L.; Alkofahi, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 2338; (h) Haratate, A.; Kiyota, H.; Oritani, T. J. Pesticide Sci. 2001, 26, 366; (i) Kang, S. H.; Kim, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5915.
- 10. Somfai, P. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 11315.
- (a) Mukai, C.; Hirai, S.; Kim, I. J.; Hanaoka, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 5389; (b) Mukai, C.; Hirai, S.; Hanaoka, M. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 6619.
- (a) Babjak, M.; Kapitan, P.; Gracza, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 6983–6985; (b) Babjak, M.; Kapitan, P.; Gracza, T. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 2471.
- 13. Yoda, H.; Nakaseko, Y.; Takabe, K. Synlett 2002, 1532.
- 14. Yoda, H.; Shimojo, T.; Takabe, K. Synlett 1999, 1969.
- Yadav, J. S.; Rajaiah, G.; Raju, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5831–5833.
- (a) Katzuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5954; (b) Sharpless, K. B.; Woodward, S. S.; Finn, M. G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 1823; (c) Melloni, P. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1391; (d) Peter, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 2775.
- (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Swern, D. Synthesis 1981, 165; (b) Schmitz, W. D.; Messerschmidt, N. B.; Romo, D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2058.
- (a) Wittig, G.; Rieber, M. Ann. 1949, 562, 187; (b) Wittig,
 G.; Geissler, G. Ann. 1953, 580, 44; (c) Wittig, G.;
 Schollkopf, V. Chem. Ber. 1954, 87, 1318; (d) Gensle, W.
 J. Chem. Rev. 1957, 57, 191.
- 19. Kim, N.; Choi, J.; Cha, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7096.
- 20. (a) Valverde, S.; Lomas, M. M.; Herradon, B.; Ochoa, S. G. *Tetrahedron* 1987, 43, 1895; (b) Tronchet, J. M. J.; Gentile, B. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1979, 62, 2091.